

MINUTES of a regular meeting of the MUNICIPAL COUNCIL of The Corporation of the District of Oak Bay, held in the Council Chambers, Oak Bay Municipal Hall, 2167 Oak Bay Avenue, Victoria, B.C., on Tuesday, May 24, 2016, at 7:00 PM.

PRESENT: Councillor T. Ney, Chair  
Councillor H. Braithwaite  
Councillor M. Kirby  
Councillor K. Murdoch  
Councillor E. Zhelka

STAFF: Chief Administrative Officer, H. Koning  
Director of Corporate Services, W. Jones  
Acting Director of Corporate Services, M. Jones  
Acting Deputy Director of Corporate Services, D. Schaffer  
Director of Building and Planning, R. Thomassen

ADOPTION OF AGENDA:

*Agenda as amended*

MOVED and seconded: That the amended agenda for the Council meeting for May 24, 2016, be adopted as circulated.

CARRIED

MINUTES AND REPORTS:

1. *Council*
  - May 9, 2016
  - ADDENDA – Memo – Planner – May 24, 2016

The Acting Director of Corporate Services summarized the process outlined in the Planner's memorandum regarding 3125 Uplands Road.

MOVED and seconded: That Development Variance Permit DVP00030 be issued as follows, in keeping with the plans appended to Committee of the Whole Agenda item number 7, being a memorandum from the Planner dated April 12, 2016:

<u>Zoning Bylaw Section</u>	<u>Required/ Permitted</u>	<u>Requested</u>	<u>Variance</u>
6.2.4.(2)(a) Minimum Setback Front Lot Line	10.66 m	5.20 m	5.46 m
6.2.4.(2)(c) Minimum Setback Interior Side Lot Line	4.57 m	2.10 m	2.47 m

6.2.4.(3)(c) Maximum Roof Height	4.60 m	5.60 m	1.00 m
6.2.4.(7) Distance Between Buildings and Structures (between Cabana and Outdoor Pool)	3.00 m	2.63 m	0.37 m
6.2.4.(7) Distance Between Buildings and Structures (between Cabana and Principle Building)	3.00 m	2.57 m	0.43 m

and that the minutes of the May 9, 2016 Council meeting be amended to reflect this resolution.

CARRIED

MOVED and seconded: That the minutes of the Council meeting of May 9, 2016 be adopted as amended.

In reference to item no. 8 at the May 9, 2016 Council meeting, it was noted as a point of clarification that the future use of 1531 Hampshire Road is included in the strategic plan.

The question was then called.

CARRIED

2. ***Committee of the Whole***

- May 16, 2016

MOVED and seconded: That the minutes of the meeting of Committee of the Whole held on Monday, May 16, 2016 and the recommendations contained therein be adopted as included in the amended agenda.

CARRIED

3. ***Special Council***

- May 13, 2016
- May 16, 2016

MOVED and seconded: That the minutes of the special meeting of Council held on Friday, May 13, be adopted.

CARRIED

MOVED and seconded: That the minutes of the special meeting of Council held on Monday, May 16, be adopted.

CARRIED

MAYOR'S REMARKS:

4. The Acting Mayor provided remarks on the following topics:

***Welcome to Warren Jones***

The Acting Mayor welcomed Warren Jones to the District of Oak Bay, noting that May 24 was Mr. Jones's first day as Director of Corporate Services. Mr. Jones began his career in local government in recreation in Port Alberni, moved to Coquitlam to become deputy city clerk and eventually City Manager, and was the Chief Administrative Officer at the Cowichan Valley Regional District. He replaces former Director Lorraine Hilton, who became CAO at the District of Highlands.

***Thanks to Maura Jones and Don Schaffer***

The Acting Mayor thanked Maura Jones, Deputy Director of Corporate Services, for acting in the capacity of Director during the interim between Ms. Hilton's departure and Mr. Jones's arrival. Councillor Ney noted that Ms. Jones took a big load on her shoulders for the four months and now returns to her position as Deputy Director. The Acting Mayor further thanked Don Schaffer for his service in filling the role of Deputy Director in support of Ms. Jones.

***Thank you to Oak Bay Fire Department***

The Acting Mayor noted that Saanich Fire Chief Michael Burgess commended the aid received from Oak Bay Fire Chief and his team for their assistance in fighting the significant fire on Cedar Hill Road. The letter, she said, notes the professionalism and compassion demonstrated by the OBFD in a difficult situation. She also thanked the Saanich department for its assistance in fighting the condominium fire on Satellite Road earlier in the month.

***Webcasting of Council meetings***

Councillor Ney noted that the meeting is the first being webcast from Oak Bay Council Chambers and thanked staff, notably Deputy Director of Financial Services Fernando Pimentel, IT Support Technician Hugh Scaife, and Corporate Services staff, Ms. Jones and Mr. Schaffer.

***Oak Bay Municipal Dinner***

The Acting Mayor acknowledged the efforts of Councillor Braithwaite and Executive Assistant Cindy Denomme in putting on the annual Municipal Dinner at the Oak Bay Beach Hotel, which featured entertainment from the Oak Bay High School junior jazz band and the Canadian College of Performing Arts Alumni. The dinner, she said, recognizes the work of volunteers working on municipal committees.

***Oak Bay Volunteer Services annual recognition dinner***

Lastly, the Acting Mayor noted that Oak Bay Volunteer Services held its annual dinner to recognize the efforts of the community volunteers who assist seniors in a number of capacities. She said a number of long service awards were presented, including one to a 35-year volunteer and others to 15-year volunteers. She noted the community is grateful for the contributions of those volunteers.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PERIOD:

5. The following speakers addressed Council during the Public Participation Period:

Bruce Cownden, Oak Bay resident, noted that he is not against development but has concerns about the scope of the development at 1231 and 1237 Beach Drive, stating that they are large enough to block portions of the views from his home. He noted that the setbacks and the elevation of the proposed developments will result in his views being limited.

Philip Wynne, Oak Bay resident, noted that consultation regarding a bike lane to which the District is committed in the Official Community Plan along a one-kilometre stretch of Cadboro Bay Road has not yet taken place, and that the current alignment of pedestrian walkways, bike lanes and crosswalks are in his opinion dangerous. He stated that School District 61 agrees with that position. He said Council should implement the infrastructure improvements committed to in the OCP.

Gerald Smeltzer, Oak Bay resident, made a brief presentation to Council noting what he feels are deficiencies in maintenance of a number of crosswalks, which require painting or paving or both.

Jocelyn de Montmorency, Program Manager, Canadian Association of Mental Health Victoria, asked that when the request for financial assistance for the Ride Don't Hide event is considered later in the agenda, Council provide the funding requested. Ms. de Montmorency commented that it is her understanding that policing costs are not required in other municipalities for these events.

COMMUNICATIONS:

6. ***Request for Financial Assistance and for Inclusion in Provisional Budget - Beacon Community Services, Adult Crossing Guard Program***
- Note - Acting Director of Corporate Services - Adult Crossing Guard Program Request, May 24, 2016
  - Corresp. - Beacon Community Services, Apr. 26, 2016

MOVED and seconded: That:

1. A grant of \$864 to Beacon Community Services for costs incurred in the provision of the adult crossing guard service be approved; and
2. An increase of \$2,160 in funding for Beacon Community Services for a total of \$9,360 in the 2017 provisional budget be approved for the purpose of continuing the service at past levels.

*Bob Boulter, Chief Executive Officer, and Beth Laughton, Vice President, Finance and Administration, Beacon Community Services, in attendance for this item.*

Mr. Boulter noted that, in 2015, Beacon Community Services converted the volunteer crossing guards delivering the program to employees after examination of labour standard requirements. Responding to questions from Council, he stated that volunteers were always paid a stipend.

Discussion ensued concerning the possibility of having the school board pay for the program rather than the municipality, the ability of the board to pay given its budgetary situation, and the demands on the Parent Advisory Council for funding other items.

The question was then called.

CARRIED

7. ***Endorsement of Location and Purchase of the artwork "Rebirth"***

- Note - Acting Director of Corporate Services - Endorsement of Location and Purchase of Rebirth
- Report - Manager of Recreation and Culture, May 4, 2016
- Excerpt - Parks, Recreation & Culture Commission Meeting, May 4, 2016

MOVED and seconded: That the expenditure of \$17,250 from the Public Art Fund be approved for the purchase and installation of the art work "Rebirth" at Entrance Park.

CARRIED

8. ***Request for Financial Assistance - Canadian Mental Health Association, Ride Don't Hide Event***

- Note - Acting Director of Corporate Services - Request for Financial Assistance, Ride Don't Hide Special Event, May 17, 2016
- Corresp. - Canadian Mental Health Association, May 17, 2016

The Acting Director of Corporate Services provided background to the explanatory note, noting that the amount requested is the amount that would be required by the Oak Bay Police Department to provide support for the event.

MOVED and seconded: That a grant of \$470.00 to the Canadian Mental Health Association be approved for police marshalling costs related to the Ride Don't Hide event on June 26, 2016.

In response to questions from Council, it was noted that Oak Bay does not charge for special events as other municipalities do, so the charges that would be levied for events like this are required to recoup costs. Discussion ensued centring around consistency in charges for services to all events and the requirement for police participation in the process.

The question was then called.

CARRIED

9. ***Uplands Combined Sewer Separation Project - Contract Award for Phase 1 Geotechnical Investigation Proposal Evaluation***

- Memorandum - Project Manager, May 10, 2016

*Jack Hull, Project Manager, in attendance for this item.*

Mr. Hull noted that six proposals were received and reviewed by a team which weighed the proposals for technical and financial merit, and outlined the manner in which the group intends to conduct the investigation.

In response to questions from Council, Mr. Hull noted that it is his expectation that the cost of the investigation will not exceed the \$50,000 budget allocated for this work and that there are a variety of methods proposed to accomplish the investigation. He commented that this is Phase 1 of the investigation which could be expanded to additional phases based on Council's decisions with respect to use of easements.

MOVED and seconded: That the contract for the Uplands Combined Sewer Separation Project Phase 1 Geotechnical Investigation be awarded to WSP Canada Inc., in accordance with their proposal dated April 22, 2016 in the amount of \$34,620.00 plus GST for a total of \$36,351.00.

CARRIED

10. ***Tree Protection Bylaw - Application for Reconsideration of Refusal - 3390 Beach Drive***

- Report - Acting Director of Corporate Services, May 12, 2016
- Rpt. Attach. 1 - Corresp. - Fowler, Apr. 19, 2016
- Rpt. Attach. 2 - Corresp. Background - Fowler, May 1, 2015 - Apr. 27, 2016
- Rpt. Attach. 3 - Permit Denial - Manager of Parks Services, Apr. 11, 2016

*Blane Fowler, applicant, and Chris Hyde-Lay, Manager of Parks Services, in attendance for this item.*

The Manager of Parks Services noted for Council the reasons for the refusal to issue a permit to the applicant to cut down the large Douglas fir tree that is the subject of the application.

Discussion ensued amongst Council regarding the placement of the house with regard to the tree, the percentage of the District which has urban forest coverage as compared to other area municipalities, the willingness of District staff to continue to monitor the tree's health, and the discrepancies between the reports from the applicant's consulting arbourist and the District's arbourist.

In response to questions from Council, Mr. Fowler noted that his insurer feels that the District might be responsible for third-party liability incurred if the tree fails, that the tree will detract from the look of the house, and that no concerns about cutting down the tree have been expressed by his neighbors. He noted that the Manager of Parks Services has been very helpful and a great resource.

Discussion ensued regarding liability of the District and whether or not the issue should be referred back to staff for further information.

MOVED and seconded: That the request for the issuance of a permit for the removal of the large Douglas fir tree located on the private property at 3390 Beach Drive be denied.

In response to a question from Council, the Manager of Parks Services noted that if the application is denied there is a one-year waiting period before reapplication but that if the tree does show signs of decline the District would contact the owner regarding the tree; further, the applicant noted that he wants trees on the property.

CARRIED

Councillor Ney against the motion

MOVED and seconded: That staff be directed to provide clarification of legal responsibilities as part of the urban forest strategy report coming back to Council.

CARRIED

11. ***Tree Protection Bylaw - Application for Reconsideration of Permit Refusal - 3350 Weald Road***

- Report - Acting Director of Corporate Services, May 18, 2016
- Rpt. Attach. 1 - Corresp. - Squires and Barton, May 12, 2016
- Rpt. Attach. 2 - Correspondence background, May 12 - 15, 2016
- Rpt. Attach. 3 - Permit Denial - Manager of Parks Services, May 18, 2016

*Sally Barton, applicant, and Chris Hyde-Lay, Manager of Parks Services, in attendance for this item.*

The Manager of Parks Services noted for Council the reasons for the refusal to issue a permit to the applicants to cut down the Deodar cedar trees that are the subject of the application.

In response to questions from Council, Mr. Hyde-Lay noted that the trees are not mature at this time, they might reach a height of 120 feet from their current height of 80 feet, and could live another 100 years on the site.

The applicant noted that the Municipal Arbourist has been helpful. However, she stated that the canopies are impinging on Garry oaks on an adjacent property, that the cones are quite large compared to regular pine cones, and that falling cones and branches pose a hazard to their children. Their neighbors support the request to fall the trees. The request is not about the messiness of the trees but about the danger of damage to children and property.

Discussion ensued about the number of Deodar cedars in the community, the state of the tree canopy in Oak Bay, the status of the review of the tree protection bylaw, the health and age of the trees in question, and whether or not the trees pose a safety risk.

MOVED and seconded: That the request for the issuance of a permit for the removal of the two Deodar cedar trees located on the private property at 3350 Weald Road be denied.

Discussion ensued regarding the aims of the urban forest strategy, one of which is to encourage residents to plant and retain trees on private property. It was noted that it is important that the tree protection bylaw be seen as a positive rather than a negative which would prevent people from planting trees.

The question was then called.

CARRIED

12. ***Presentation on Feasibility of Development Cost Charges***

- Report - Director of Building and Planning, May 9, 2016
- Presentation, DCC, Urban Systems, May 24, 2016

*Dan Huang, Senior Planner, Urban Systems in attendance for this item.*

The Director of Building and Planning introduced Mr. Huang, who presented a high-level overview of development cost charges (DCCs) in BC, including: the policy rationale from the rationale for DCCs from the Official Community Plan; what are DCCs; what are eligible projects for DCCs; the process involved in calculating DCCs; and specific DCCs for the District of Oak Bay.

Mr. Huang noted that there are about 360 projected new housing units for Oak Bay in the OCP in the next 10 years. DCCs are intended to cover the cost of offsite infrastructure needed to support growth, are based on the benefitter-pay principle and are intended to be transparent and equitable. They are intended to pay for capital upgrades to four categories of major infrastructure, acquisition of new parkland or acquisition of parks. They do not fund operations or maintenance, or replacement of aged infrastructure, and should not deter development.

In response to questions from Council, Mr. Huang noted that current legislation does not allow for use of DCCs to fund heritage programs, but does allow for reductions or waivers to encourage projects with low environmental impact. He further noted that a DCC eligible development must either trigger or benefit growth in the community.

Discussion ensued regarding the type of project that would be eligible for DCCs, whether or not actual or targeted growth would be important; the differences between community amenities and DCCs, and which communities use those processes.

MOVED and seconded: That staff be directed to proceed with seeking potential consultants through a Request for Proposal process to establish a Development Cost Charge program for Oak Bay.

Discussion ensued as to the timing of the issuance of the RFP for a consultant and the staff resources that would be required to support the process. It was confirmed that this would be addressed following discussion with the new Director of Engineering Services.

The question was then called.

CARRIED

13. ***Oak Bay Heritage Commission (HComm) Minutes***

- Note - Acting Director of Corporate Services - HComm Minutes - Apr. 12, 2015
- Minutes HComm - Apr. 12, 2016

MOVED and seconded: That the minutes of the meeting of the Oak Bay Heritage Commission held April 12, 2016, be received for information.

CARRIED

**CORRESPONDENCE – UPCOMING AGENDA ITEM(S)**

14. No correspondence regarding upcoming agenda items was received.



NEW BUSINESS/REPORTS FROM OTHER COMMITTEES:

15. ***Correspondence to Island Health and BC Minister of Children and Families - Funding for NEED2 Youth Suicide Prevention Education & Support***

MOVED and seconded:

WHEREAS the decision not to provide funding in response to the request for financial assistance by NEED2 Youth Suicide Prevention Education & Support was made to discourage the downloading of essential services such as education and health care to municipal governments;

THEREFORE be it resolved that the Mayor send correspondence on behalf of Oak Bay Municipal Council to the Island Health Board of Directors, and the BC Minister of Children and Families, urging them to provide funding to this organization for the delivery of the Youth Suicide Prevention and Education Program, as a crucial part of mental health services for the region.

Discussion ensued regarding the rationale for specifying the particular organization noted in the motion and whether or not it is appropriate to specify an organization to deliver the service; whether or not the organization is the only one providing the service in this area; whether the need for the programming can be filled by other organizations; and whether the province will fund programs that provide the same service.

MOVED and seconded: That the motion be amended by removing the words “to this organization” so that the motion would read:

*WHEREAS the decision not to provide funding in response to the request for financial assistance by NEED2 Youth Suicide Prevention Education & Support was made to discourage the downloading of essential services such as education and health care to municipal governments:*

*THEREFORE be it resolved that the Mayor send correspondence on behalf of Oak Bay Municipal Council to the Island Health Board of Directors, and the BC Minister of Children and Families, urging them to provide funding for the delivery of the Youth Suicide Prevention and Education Program, as a crucial part of mental health services for the region.*

Discussion ensued about the appropriate method of delivering the service; whether, having turned down funding to the organization for the service, Council is willing to advocate on behalf of the organization; and whether the current centralized service provides an adequate service.

MOVED and seconded: That the motion be tabled.

CARRIED

Councillors Murdoch and Zhelka against the motion

***Mayor’s Task Force on Heritage Home Preservation***

Councillor Zhelka reported that the Task Force, which consists of himself, Councillor Murdoch, Jan Mears and Tim Taddy, is making progress and will be reporting some time in June and will be coming before Council before the summer.

RESOLUTIONS:

16. ***Confirmation of Citizen Volunteer Appointments for Advisory Planning Commission and Board of Variance***

- Resolution Notice - Confirmation of Citizen Volunteer Appointments

MOVED and seconded: That the appointments below be confirmed for the following terms commencing May 2016 in accordance with the respective enactments and Bylaws governing them:

Advisory Planning Commission

Andrew Appleton                      Dec. 31, 2017

Board of Variance

Tim Wait                                      Dec. 31, 2016

CARRIED

17. ***Development Permit (DP) Application - 1660 Monterey Avenue***

- Resolution Notice - 1660 Monterey Avenue
- Plans - DP000001 - 1660 Monterey Ave., Jan. 20, 2016
- Committee of the Whole Report - Planner, Apr. 15, 2016

Discussion ensued regarding whether the proposed covenant imposes an onerous requirement on the landowner; and whether covenants are the best method of preserving special lands such as watercourse and shorelines into the future or if the existing Shoreline Development Permit Area is sufficient.

Don McCarthy, applicant, responded to questions from Council, commenting that he was willing to enter into the proposed covenant given that the long-term goal is the restoration of the adjacent Bowker Creek Watershed.

Mark Williams, Oak Bay resident, noted for Council that he is the representative for the proponent of the project at 3154 Island Road, and that the owner of that property is concerned that a specific landscape plan such as the one his client proposed would, if attached to a covenant, bind the owner to that plan forever and would not allow for changes to be made in the case of the plan not working out.

In response to questions from Council, the Planner noted that the development permit process does not require that specific plants be placed in specific places, but that an area is protected for rehabilitation. A covenant recognizes that protection methods are in place for a particular property, notifying future owners that a condition is registered on the property and allows them a different way to review the requirements. Simply going from one native species to another would not trigger commentary from the District.

MOVED and seconded: That the Director of Building and Planning be authorized to issue Development Permit No. DP000001 to construct a principal building at 1660 Monterey Avenue (Lot 5, Sections 61 and 69, Victoria District, Plan 5683), as more particularly shown on the plans appended to the Resolution Notice on the agenda of the May 24, 2016 meeting of Oak Bay Municipal Council.

CARRIED

MOVED and seconded: That Development Permit No. DP000001 include as a condition in the permit the registration of a covenant to specify the area of the subject property to be restored and protected, as outlined on the “Landscape and Site Plan” dated April 26, 2016 appended to the Resolution Notice on the agenda of the May 24, 2016 meeting of Oak Bay Municipal Council.

CARRIED

Councillors Murdoch and Braithwaite against the motion.

18. ***Development Permit with Variances (DP) Application - 1231 Beach Drive***

- Resolution Notice - 1231 Beach Drive
- Plans - DP000011 - (A1, A7, A8, A9) 1231 Beach Dr. Mar. 31, 2016
- Plan - Native Planting Enhancement Plan, May 17, 2016
- Committee of the Whole Report - Planner, April 15, 2016

That:

1. The Director of Building and Planning be authorized to issue Development Permit No. DP000011 (Development Permit with Variances) with respect to 1231 Beach Drive (Re-Amended Lot 66 (DD114393I), Sections 23, Victoria District, Plan 368) varying the following provisions of Bylaw No. 3531, *Zoning Bylaw*, 1986 as amended:

<u>Zoning Bylaw Section</u>	<u>Required/ Permitted</u>	<u>Requested</u>	<u>Variance</u>
4.15.1 Maximum Paved Surface Front Yard	< 25% 48.75 m <sup>2</sup>	60%	35%
6.5.4.(2)(a) Minimum Setback Front Lot Line	7.62 m	3.66 m	3.96 m
6.5.4.(11) Minimum Setback Second Storey	3.00 m	2.40 m	0.60 m

to accommodate the construction of a principal building as more particularly shown on the plans appended to the Resolution Notice on the agenda of the May 24, 2016 meeting of Oak Bay Municipal Council; and

2. Development Permit No. DP000011 include as a condition in the permit the registration of a covenant to specify the area of the subject property to be restored and protected, as outlined on the “Native Species Planning Enhancement Plan” appended to the Resolution Notice on the agenda of the May 24, 2016 meeting of Oak Bay Municipal Council.

MOVED and seconded: That the motion in respect to the development permit with variances for 1231 Beach Drive be tabled to allow notice to be given in accordance with the *Local Government Act*.

CARRIED

19. **Development Variance Permit - 1237 Beach Drive**

- Resolution Notice - 1237 Beach Drive
- Plan - DVP00043 - (A2) 1237 Beach Dr.
- Committee of the Whole Report - Planner, April 15, 2016

MOVED and seconded: That the Director of Building and Planning be authorized to issue a Development Variance Permit with respect to 1237 Beach Drive (Lot B, Section 61, Victoria District, Plan 3929) varying the following provisions of Bylaw No. 3531, *Zoning Bylaw, 1986* as amended:

<u>Zoning Bylaw Section</u>	<u>Required/ Permitted</u>	<u>Requested</u>	<u>Variance</u>
6.5.4.(8) Minimum Setback Interior Side Lot Line	1.52 m	1.24 m	0.28 m

with respect to the existing accessory building in order to accommodate the realignment of the property line as a result of a subdivision, as shown on the plans appended to Committee of the Whole agenda item number 8, being a memorandum from the Planner dated April 15, 2016.

MOVED and seconded: That the motion in respect to the development permit for 1237 Beach Drive be tabled for consideration at a future Council meeting.

CARRIED

20. **Development Permit (DP) Application - 3155 Beach Drive**

- Resolution Notice - 3155 Beach Drive
- Plans - DP000010 - 3155 Beach Dr., Feb. 01, 2016
- Committee of the Whole Report - Planner, Mar. 29, 2016

*Mark Williams, agent for the applicant, was in attendance for this item.*

No members of the public were present to speak to this item.

MOVED and seconded: That the Director of Building and Planning be authorized to issue Development Permit No. DP000010 to construct a patio structure and install landscaping at 3155 Beach Drive (Lot 3, Block 10, Sections 31, Victoria District, Plan 1216A), as more particularly shown on the plans appended to the Committee of the Whole agenda item number 9, being a memorandum from the Planner dated March 29, 2016.

CARRIED

MOVED and seconded: That Development Permit No. DP000010 include as a condition in the permit the registration of a covenant to specify the area of the subject property to be restored and protected, as outlined on “3155 Beach Drive Site Plan – L1 – Landscape Design Plan” dated January 2016 and “Planting Layout – L5 – Shoreline Development Permit Area” dated

February 2016 appended to the Resolution Notice on the agenda of the May 24, 2016 meeting of Oak Bay Municipal Council.

It was noted that this property is subject to a S. 219 covenant due to water issues in the basement, and that the suggested covenant would add protection to the house.

CARRIED

Councillors Murdoch and Braithwaite against the motion.

ADJOURNMENT:

*Motion to Adjourn*

MOVED and seconded: That the open portion of the meeting of Council be adjourned and that a closed session be convened to discuss: information that is prohibited, or information that if it were presented in a document would be prohibited from disclosure under section 21 of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act; and the acquisition, disposition or expropriation of land or improvements, if Council considers that disclosure could reasonably be expected to harm the interests of the municipality.

CARRIED

The open portion of the meeting adjourned at 10:20 PM.

Certified Correct:

---

Acting Mayor

---

Director of Corporate Services